UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,490	03/04/2004	Mark Lykam		3043
36822 CORDON & I	7590 12/26/2007	EXAMINER		
GORDON & JACOBSON, P.C. 60 LONG RIDGE ROAD			ALIE, GHASSEM	
SUITE 407 STAMFORD.	SUITE 407 STAMFORD, CT 06902		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
511 <b>1</b> 01 <b></b> ,			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/796,490	LYKAM, MARK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Ghassem Alie	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/1	<u>0/06</u> .					
·—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3724

1. This restriction requirement is a response to the amendment filed on 10/10/06. The amendments to the specification, drawings and claims are acknowledged. However, this restriction requirement is necessitated due to the extensive amendment to claims 1-8 and addition of claims 9-13. It should be noted that claims 1-8 as amended and new claims 9-13 have not been considered before. In fact, due to issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, and objections to specification and drawings, the allowability of the subject matter in the pervious claims 1-8 was not determined.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to an automatic pill cutting device, classified in class 225, subclass 103.
  - II. Claims 9-13, drawn to an apparatus for cutting pills, classified in class 206, subclass 534.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, e.g., subcombination I has a separate utility such as it could be used without the indexing wheel having a plate with a plurality of receiving locations, and the cutting blade that moves in a plan perpendicular to the plate set forth in invention II. Conversely, subcombinations II has a separate utility such as it could be used without the torsion gingers at the cutting location which help to centering the pills before cutting, and

Art Unit: 3724

the rotating indexing wheel that brings the pills to a cutting location set forth in invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 4. Upon the election of Invention I (claims 1-8) applicant must elect one of the inventions in the following Groups.
  - IA. Claim 3, drawn to an automatic pill cutting device including spring loaded torsion fingers that allow cut pills to pass through and exit, classified in class 30, subclass 261.
  - IIA. Claim 6, drawn to an automatic pill cutting device including a Geneva wheel mechanism which drives the indexing wheel, classified in class 30, subclass 278.
  - IIIA. Claim 7, drawn to an automatic pill cutting device including a solenoid that causes the blade to move up and down, classified in class 30, subclass 227.
  - VIA. Claim 8, drawn to an automatic pill cutting device includes a rotating indexing wheel accommodating pills which do not exceed 0.187 inches in thickness and 0.55

Application/Control Number:

10/796,490

Art Unit: 3724

inches in diameter, classified in class 206, subclass 534.

- 5. Upon the election of Invention II (claims 9-13) applicant must elect one of the inventions in the following Groups.
  - IB. Claims 10, drawn to an apparatus for cutting pills including an indexing means having a Geneva wheel, classified in class 30, subclass 278.
  - IIB. Claim 11-13, drawn to an apparatus for cutting pills including a plurality of cutting grooves each flanked by angled centering walls, classified in class 30, subclass 134.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions IA-VIA; and claim 9 links inventions IB-IIB. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s) 1 and 9. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn,

Application/Control Number:

10/796,490

Art Unit: 3724

the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 6. Inventions IA-VIA are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, e.g., subcombination IA has a separate utility such as it could be used without the specific features set forth in inventions IIA-VIA. Conversely, each one of the subcombinations IIA-VIA has a separate utility such as it could be used without the specific features set forth in invention IA. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Inventions IB and IIB are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, e.g., subcombination IB has a separate utility such as it could be used without the plurality of grooves set forth in invention IIB. Conversely, subcombinations IIB has a separate utility such as it could be used without the Geneva Wheel set forth in invention IB. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a

Art Unit: 3724

continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor or at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR. 1.143).

10/796,490 Art Unit: 3724

## Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (571) 272-4501. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (501) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, SEE <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ghassem Alie Patent Examiner Art Unit 3724

Ghassem Alii

GA

December 18, 2007